

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.GIRI

MONDAY, THE 19TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 28TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 32879 of 2007(L)

PETITIONER:

BABY NADEERA.S., A.K.MANZIL, KAITHAKADU,
KULATHUPUZHA P.O., KOLLAM DISTRICT, KERALA.

BY ADV. SRI.THOMAS ABRAHAM
SRI.DIPU.R
SMT.MERCIAMMA MATHEW

RESPONDENTS:

1. KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, PATTOM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. THE DISTRICT OFFICER,
KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
DISTRICT OFFICE, KOLLAM CORPORATION BUILDING,
ANDAMUKKAM, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI. ALEXANDER THOMAS, SC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 19/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

KSS

V.GIRI,J.

W.P (C) No. 32879 of 2007

Dated this the 19th November, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner applied for the post of L.D.Typist pursuant to the notification issued by the P.S.C. on 31.12.2005. One of the qualifications prescribed in the notification is certificate in respect of Computer Word Processing, along with K.G.T.E. (Lower). Subsequently, the P.S.C. issued a clarification stating that if Computer Word Processing has been acquired from any of the enumerated institutions, the same can be considered as equivalent qualification. According to the petitioner, she had completed the course in Computer Word Processing from an Institute called 'Nethaji Computer and Vocational Training Centre.' This is evidenced by Exhibit-P4. The said institution is not in the category of enumerated institutions. Petitioner contends that subsequently she had acquired K.G.T.E (Higher) with Computer Word Processing. That was only in February, 2007 well after the last date for receipt of application. I do not find any error in the stand taken by the P.S.C. holding that the petitioner is not qualified in terms of notification. There is no merit in the writ petition and the same is therefore, dismissed.

(V.GIRI, JUDGE)

ma

K.THANKAPPAN,J

CRL.A. NO.92 OF 1999

ORDER

25th May, 2007